

Escaping Local Optima

Nuno Antunes Ribeiro

Assistant Professor



Engineering Systems and Design

Escaping Local Optima

- In general, local search is a very easy method to design and implement and gives fairly good solutions very quickly. This is why it is a widely used optimization method in practice
- One of the main disadvantages of LS is that it converges toward local optima.
- Moreover, the algorithm can be very sensitive to the initial solution; that is, a large variability of the quality of solutions may be obtained for some problems.
- Local search works well if there are not too many local optima in the search space or the quality of the different local optima is more or less similar.
- If the objective function is highly multimodal, which is the case for the majority of optimization problems, local search is usually not an effective method to use.

Convergence to Local Optima Basic Example

We aim to minimize a continuous function with multiple local optima



Multistart Local Search



Convergence to Local Optima Basic Example

We aim to minimize a continuous function with multiple local optima



Convergence to Local Optima Basic Example

We aim to minimize a continuous function with multiple local optima



Convergence to Local Optima Generate Initial Solution



Convergence to Local Optima Hill Climbing



Convergence to Local Optima Local Optima

min(scores)

array([0.00999143])

```
# line plot of best scores
pyplot.plot(scores, '-')
pyplot.xlabel('Improvement Number')
pyplot.ylabel('Evaluation f(x)')
pyplot.show()
```



Seed = 1



Convergence to Local Optima Local Optima

min(scores)

array([-1.72814962])

```
# line plot of best scores
pyplot.plot(scores, '-')
pyplot.xlabel('Improvement Number')
pyplot.ylabel('Evaluation f(x)')
pyplot.show()
```



Seed = 2



Convergence to Local Optima Local Optima



Multistart Local Search

- To escape local optima, local search algorithms can be initialize several times
- As we can generate local optima with high variability, eventually, after many initializations, the algorithm will find the local optima that corresponds to the global optima
 - **1. While** (termination criteria (1) is not met)
 - **2.** Initialize: Generate random initial solution, p_{best}
 - 3. While (termination criteria (2) is not met)
 - 4. Generate a new solution (or a set of new solutions) p_{new} by applying a small perturbation (search operator) to p_{best}
 - 5. If p_{new} is better than p_{best} , than $p_{best} = p_{new}$
 - 6. Go back to 3, until termination criteria (2) is not met

7. Go back to 2, until termination criteria (1) is not met

Multistart Local Search – Random Sampling



The Ackley function is widely used for testing optimization algorithms. In its two-dimensional form, as shown in the plot, it is characterized by a nearly flat outer region, and a large hole at the centre. The function poses a risk for optimization algorithms, particularly hillclimbing algorithms, to be trapped in one of its many local minima.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = -a \exp\left(-b\sqrt{rac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2}
ight) - \exp\left(rac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^d \cos(cx_i)
ight) + a + \exp(1)$$

Source: <u>http://www.sfu.ca/~ssurjano/ackley.html</u>

Random Walks

- Random walks are like hill climbers, with the exception that they do not use the objective function to guide the search direction.
- Start at a (random) location and take random steps





Simulated Annealing

Nuno Antunes Ribeiro

Assistant Professor



Engineering Systems and Design

Annealing Process



Source: <u>http://iao.hfuu.edu.cn/teaching/lectures/metaheuristic-optimization</u>

Annealing Process

- The annealing process requires heating and then slowly cooling a substance to obtain a strong crystalline structure. The strength of the structure depends on the rate of cooling metals.
- If the initial temperature is not sufficiently high or a fast cooling is applied, imperfections (metastable states) are obtained.
- In this case, the cooling solid will not attain thermal equilibrium at each temperature. Strong crystals are grown from careful and slow cooling.
- The Simulated Annealing algorithm simulates the energy changes in a system subjected to a cooling process until it converges to an equilibrium state (steady frozen state). This scheme was developed in 1953 by Metropolis





Metropolis Simulation

- In 1953, three American researchers (Metropolis, Rosenbluth, and Teller) developed an algorithm to simulate the physical annealing.
- Their aim was to reproduce faithfully the evolution of the physical structure of a material undergoing annealing.
- Starting from an initial state *i* of energy E_i , a new state j of energy E_j is generated by modifying the position of one particle.
- If the energy difference, $E_i E_j$, is positive, the state *j* becomes the new current state. If the energy difference is less than or equal to zero, then the probability that the state *j* becomes the current state is given by:

$$e^{\left(\frac{E_i-E_j}{k_b.T}\right)}$$

• Where T represents the temperature of the solid and k_B is the Boltzmann constant (1.38×10–23 joule/Kelvin)

Simulated Annealing in Optimization

 SA replicates the annealing process by enabling under some conditions the degradation of a solution. The goal is to escape from local optima.



- $P(\Delta E) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}} & if \ \Delta E > 0\\ 1 & otherwise \end{cases}$
- It uses a control parameter, called temperature, to determine the probability of accepting nonimproving solutions.
- The temperature is gradually decreased according to a cooling schedule such that few nonimproving solutions are accepted at the end of the search.

Metaheuristic - Simulated Annealing

- The objective function of the problem is analogous to the energy state of the system.
- A solution of the optimization problem corresponds to a system state.
- The decision variables associated with Group a solution of the problem are analogous Meta to the molecular positions.
 Rapid
- The global optimum corresponds to the ground state of the system.
- Finding a local minimum implies that a metastable state has been reached

Physical System	Optimization Problem
System state	Solution
Molecular positions	Decision variables
Energy	Objective function
Ground state	Global optimal solution
Metastable state	Local optimum
Rapid quenching	Local search
Temperature	Control parameter T
Careful annealing	Simulated annealing

Simulated Annealing

- Escaping local optima: The higher the temperature the higher the probability of accepting a worst move.
- Better move is always accepted P = 1

$$P(\Delta E) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}} & if \ \Delta E > 0\\ 1 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

• ΔE is the objective value difference between the new f(x') and old candidate solution f(x)

$$\Delta E = f(x') - f(x)$$

Temperature T reduced according to a specific schedule over the iterations

Cooling Schedule



Cooling Schedule

 T_{start} - initial temperature it - current iteration it_{max} -maximum number of iterations α - calibration parameter



Cooling Schedule

- If temperature decreases slowly, convergence to the global optimum has been proven for various optimization problems. However, the number of function evaluations needed to find the optimum may be higher than what an exhaustive enumeration would need.
- Faster cooling schedules lose guaranteed convergence but progress much faster: Simulated Annealing becomes almost a local search algorithm.

Simulated Annealing Algorithm

- Escaping local optima: The higher the temperature the larger the probability of accepting non-improving solutions
 - **1.** Initialize: Generate initial solution, p_{best}
 - 2. While (termination criteria (2) is not met)
 - 3. Generate a new solution (or a set of new solutions) p_{new} by applying a small perturbation (search operator) to p_{best}
 - 4. If p_{new} is better than p_{best} , than update $p_{best} = p_{new}$
 - 5. Else update $p_{best} = p_{new}$ with a probability $e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}}$
 - 6. Update temperature T applying a cooling schedule function
 - 7. Go back to (4), until termination criteria (3) is not met



Escaping Local Optima Simulated Annealing

Random Seed	Hill Climbing	Simulated Annealing	Random Walks
1	0.009	-1.729	-1.989
2	-1.728	-1.989	-1.989
3	0.106	-1.899	-1.989
4	-1.487	-1.729	-1.989
5	0.148	-1.988	-1.989
6	-0.859	-1.729	-1.989
7	0.014	-1.729	-1.989
8	-0.119	-1.729	-1.989
9	-1.49	-1.727	-1.989
10	-1.989	-1.989	-1.989

Not an interesting problem



Simulated Annealing in Python

Nuno Antunes Ribeiro

Assistant Professor



- **Solution Representation:** Binary Encoding
- Move Operator: Open a random 1 location and close a random location
- Replacement Procedure: First Descent



Number of candidate locations n=100

Number of locations to open fac=15

<pre>random.seed(1) iteration=0 objvalue_i=objvalue objvaluelist=objvalue program_starts = time.time()</pre>		
cputime i=0		
<pre>temp=821687323 #(InitialSol-LocalSearchSol)/ln(0.9);(26295266-18983919)</pre>	9)/ln(0.9)=69393614 ;(230942655-165441358)/ln(0.9)=6216873
<pre>#temp=1 #temp=1000000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>	$P = e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}} \iff \ln(D) = \Delta E$	
iteration=iteration+1	$r = c : \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{III}(r) = -\frac{1}{T}$	

yi_open_i=copy.deepcopy(yi_open)
yi i=np.zeros([n, 1])

#Select new location to open
yi_new_open = random.sample(range(0, n), 1)
yi_new_open = np.sort(yi_new_open)

#Identify the location to close (nearest to the new location)
index_new_closed=np.argmin(distancelct_open[:,yi_new_open])

#Open and close 1 locations
yi_open_i[index_new_closed]=yi_new_open
yi_open_i=np.sort(yi_open_i)
yi_i[yi_open_i]=1

#Re-Allocate locations to the closest open location distancelct_open=distancelct[np.where(yi_i)[0]] assignment_open=np.argmin(distancelct_open, axis=0) objvalue_open=distancelct_open.min(axis=0)*demandlct objvalue=sum(objvalue_open)

$$\Delta E_i = ObjVal_{Rand} - ObjVal_{HillClimbing}$$

 $P_i = Initial Acceptance Rate$

$$\ln(P_i) = -\frac{\Delta E_i}{T_i} \Leftrightarrow T_i = -\frac{\Delta E_i}{\ln(P_i)}$$

objvalue open=distancelct open.min(axis=0)*demandlct

objvalue=sum(objvalue open)

random.seed(1) iteration=0 obivalue i=obivalue objvaluelist=objvalue program_starts = time.time() cputime_i=0 temp=821687323 #(InitialSol-LocalSearchSol)/ln(0.9);(26295266-18983919)/ln(0.9)=69393614 ;(230942655-165441358)/ln(0.9)=62168732 #temp=1 it t=0 tp=temp #while (it t)<600:</pre> while iteration<100000: iteration=iteration+1 yi_open_i=copy.deepcopy(yi_open) Select randomly a yi i=np.zeros([n, 1]) location to open #Select new location to open vi new open = random.sample(range(0, n), 1) yi new open = np.sort(yi new open) #Identify the location to close (nearest to the new location) index new closed=np.argmin(distancelct open[:,yi new open]) Identify the nearest location to the new #Open and close 1 locations location to open – location to close yi open i[index new closed]=yi new open yi open i=np.sort(yi open i) yi i[yi open i]=1 Update binary vector #Re-Allocate locations to the closest open location distancelct_open=distancelct[np.where(yi_i)[0]] of locations assignment open=np.argmin(distancelct open, axis=0)

#Compute Acceptance Rate
diff = objvalue-objvalue_i

#Calculate temperature for current iteration
tp = temp / float(iteration + 1)
#tp = max(0.999*tp,50000)
#Calculate metropolis acceptance criterion
metropolis = np.exp(-diff / tp)

Compute probability $e^{-\frac{2L}{T}}$

#print(diff)

#Check if we should keep the new solution
if diff < 0 or random.random() < metropolis:</pre>

#Update locations and Objective Value
yi=copy.deepcopy(yi_i)
yi_open=copy.deepcopy(yi_open_i)
objvalue_i=copy.deepcopy(objvalue)

#Compute links linkindex_p1=range(n) linkindex_p2=assignment_open yi_open_index = np.array(yi_open) linkindex_p2 = yi_open_index[linkindex_p2]

#Store new objective value in the objective value list objvaluelist=np.append(objvaluelist, objvalue)

now = time.time()
cputime_i=np.append(cputime_i, now-program_starts)
it_t=now-program_starts

#Update last objective value

objvaluelist=np.append(objvaluelist, min(objvaluelist))
now = time.time()
cputime_i=np.append(cputime_i, now-program_starts)

Check if the new candidate solution is better than the best solution found so far; If yes, update the best solution found If not, Accept the new candidate solution with a probability $e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}}$



Optimum= 161,393,599.84 (321 seconds)



Simulated Annealing



Obj. Value = 443,792,460.62

Obj. Value = 441,252,999.99

Optimum= Memory Error

TSP Example

- Solution Representation: Premutation Encoding
- **Move Operators:** Swap 2 locations ; Insertion ; 3-Opt
- **Replacement Procedure:** First Descent ; First Descent ; Best Descent



Number of candidate locations n=100

TSP Example

random.seed(3)
iteration=0
ObjValueOpt=ObjValue
Objvalue_list=ObjValue
program_starts = time.time()
cputime_i=[0,0]
OptSolution=Solution_i
#temp=28601323 #3682176 #18601323
temp=4015897
#temp=0.1
it_T=0
#itmax=20000

tp = temp
while cputime_i[-1]<200:
#while iteration<itmax:</pre>

iteration=iteration+1
Solution_i=copy.deepcopy(OptSolution)

swap_it=0

while swap_it<no_swap: k_opt(Solution_i) swap_it=swap_it+1

dfSolution_i=pd.DataFrame(Solution_i)
dfSolution_i
dflinkindex_p1=dfSolution_i
dflinkindex_p2=dfSolution_i.shift(-1)
dflinkindex_p2.loc[n-1]=dflinkindex_p1.loc[0]
linkindex_p1=dflinkindex_p1.to_numpy()
linkindex_p2=dflinkindex_p2.to_numpy()
linkindex_p1=linkindex_p2.astype(int)
linkindex_p1=linkindex_p1.transpose()[0]
linkindex_p2=linkindex_p2.transpose()[0]

#Compute Objective Value

ObjValue=sum(distancelct[linkindex_p1,linkindex_p2])

Initial Temperature

Move Operator

Compute Objective Value for New Solution

TSP Example



TSP Solution (n=100)



TSP Solution (n=500)





Nuno Antunes Ribeiro

Assistant Professor



Adaptive Cooling Schedule

- The cooling schedules presented so far are static in the sense that they are defined completely a priori.
- In an adaptive cooling schedule, the decreasing rate is dynamic and depends on some information obtained during the search.
- Example:

$$P(\Delta E) = \begin{cases} \alpha + e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{T}} & \text{if } \Delta E > 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \min_{i \in M} (\Delta E_i) > 0 \text{ then } \alpha = \alpha + b \text{ else } \alpha = 0$$

Where α is a **auxiliary value** added to the metropolis probability every time the objective value is not improved in M iterations (i.e. $\min_{i \in M} (\Delta E_i) > 0$ if we are dealing with a minimization problem).



- Threshold Accepting: TA escapes from local optima by accepting solutions that are not worse than the current solution by more than a given threshold Q.
- Record-to-Record Travel: RRT accepts a non improving neighbor solution with an objective value less than the *RECORD* minus a deviation δ. *RECORD* represents the best objective value of the visited solutions during the search.
- Great Deluge Algorithm The inspiration of the GDA algorithm comes from the analogy that the direction a hill climber would take in a great deluge to keep his feet dry. As it rains incessantly without end, the level of the water increases. The algorithm never makes a move beyond the water level. The initial value of the water level is equal to the initial objective value. During the search, the value of the level is decreased monotonically. The decrement of the reduction is a parameter of the algorithm.
- Demon Algorithms: The acceptance function is based on the energy value of the demon (credit). The demon credit is initialized with a given value. A nonimproved solution is accepted if the demon has more credits than the decrease of the objective value. When a DA algorithm accepts a solution of increased objective value, the change value of the objective is credited to the demon. In the same manner, when a DA algorithm accepts an improving solution, the decrease of the objective value is debited from the demon.

Demon Algorithms: The acceptance function is based on the energy value of the demon (credit). The demon credit is initialized with a given value. A nonimproved solution is accepted if the demon has more credits than the decrease of the objective value. When a DA algorithm accepts a solution of increased objective value, the change value of the objective is credited to the demon. In the same manner, when a DA algorithm accepts an improving solution, the decrease of the objective value is debited from the demon.

Algorithm	Specificity
BDA	Initial demon value (upper bound): D_0
	Demon value update: if $D > D_0$, then $D = D_0$
ADA	Demon value update: annealing schedule
RBDA and RADA	Initial demon value: mean $D_{\rm m}$
	Acceptance function: $D = D_m + \text{Gaussian noise}$
	Demon value update: $D_{\rm m} = D_{\rm m} - \Delta E$

Demon Algorithm Variants